## Art. 102 TFEU - specific abusive conduct - exclusive dealings

## A. Definition

Exclusive dealings are exercised under the conditions of a vertical agreement, in which undertakings, at different levels of the production or distribution chain, agree "to the conditions under which the parties may purchase, sell or resell certain goods or services".

, -g	
📜 Unbekanntes Makro: 'footnote'	Exclusive dealings can be categorized as exclusive purchasing agreements or conditional rebates
he supplier agrees to sell its products to only one or a	d to distribution, either an exclusive or selective distribution agreement may be made. In either case, a specific number of authorized distributors. In the case of exclusive distribution, the agreement elective distribution agreement holds criteria linked to the nature of the product and restriction on any
sales to non-authorized distributors.	Additionally, under the realm of purchasing agreements, in ntail "an exclusive supply obligation, restricting the supplier to sell to only one buyer, but mayalso
ake the form of quantity forcing on the supplier".	Unbekanntes Makro: 'footnote' With regard to conditional rebates, "the customer is ce period exceed a certain threshold,or only on those made in excess of those required to achieve
he threshold".	footnote'
	ealings may be found in violation specifically when "a dominant undertaking may try to foreclose its ers through use of exclusive purchasing obligations or rebates".
Unbekanntes Makro: 'footnote'	Unless an undertaking, "by demonstrating that its conduct is objectively necessary or by
demonstrating that its conduct produces substantial e	efficiencies which outweigh any anticompetitive effects on consumers" is able to provide otherwise.
📃 Unbekanntes Makro: 'footnote'	Since there are many variables within each individual agreement it is difficult to generalize about
	gs have on competition, whether positive or negative. "The Commission's guidelines under Article 10 aportant procompetitive featuresExclusive dealing may also have anticompetitive effects, but the
quidelines recognise that it is necessary in each case	e to evaluate the net effects of the agreement".
As provided in the Commission's Guidance Paper, on relevant market, the position of the dominant undertak	n an individual basis, in consideration of the positon of the dominant undertaking, the conditions on the king's competitors, the position of the customers or input suppliers, the extent of the allegedly abusive direct evidence of any exclusionary strategy, the Commission is able to analyze the form and effect of the strategy.
each vertical agreement.	Makro: 'footnote'
C. Case Law	
Originally, many exclusive dealing agreements were c	considered abusive per se under Article 102 TFEU, for example, as found in Suiker Unie
📃 Unbekanntes Makro: 'footnote'	and Hoffmann-La Roche.
nas changed, as in <i>Van den Bergh</i> , "with the result the	nat exclusive dealing under Article 102 TFEU is now more aptly characterised as based on the rule of

📜 Unbekanntes Makro: 'footnote' reason".

At an international level, it is also supported that consideration based upon the rule of reason is the best approach, as in the case of the U.S. Supreme Court decision in Board of Trade of City of Chicago.

📜 Unbekanntes Makro: 'footnote'

## **D. Summary**

Overall, the practice of exclusive dealing cannot immediately be considered illegal in relation to Art 102 TFEU, but must be reviewed case by case with the application of variable measures and the rule of reason in order to determine the form and effect of each agreement.

	Unbekanntes	Makro:	'display-footnotes	s'
--	-------------	--------	--------------------	----

(1)

## Publication Notice

Responsible: Freie Universität Berlin, by its President Author: Amelia Bryant Shakocius Stage of work: final