

Special Issue on

**Public, Private, and Social Labor Governance in Global Production:
Connections, Intersections, and Spillovers across Arenas and Issue Fields**

The [ILR Review](http://journals.sagepub.com/home/ilr) (<http://journals.sagepub.com/home/ilr>) invites submissions for a special issue devoted to public, private, and social labor governance in global production focusing on connections, intersections, and spillovers across arenas and issue fields.

A striking paradox is evident in labor governance in global production: Despite a growing array of public, private, and social regulatory initiatives, violations of labor rights and other social and environmental standards remain pervasive. Over the past three decades, academic work has flourished in this area, particularly regarding private regulation based on codes of conduct and social auditing (Locke 2013), often set by multi-stakeholder initiatives (Bakker et al. 2019). As the severe limits of private regulation have been laid bare (Kuruvilla 2021), however, we have seen a renewed interest in social and public governance (Anner 2021). Social governance includes union-driven efforts such as global framework agreements (Helfen and Fichter 2013; Bourguignon et al. 2020) and collective binding agreements, notably the Bangladesh Accord on Fire and Building Safety (Donaghey and Reinecke 2018; Oka et al. 2020). Social governance also includes worker-driven initiatives such as the Fair Food Program (Rosile et al. 2021) and other multi-party bargaining models (Blasi and Bair 2019). Meanwhile, we have seen a revival in “hard” legal instruments to regulate global production including social clauses in trade agreements (Marslev and Staritz 2023) and mandatory legislation (LeBaron and Rühmkorf 2017).

Yet much remains unknown and unexplained about how various actors and forms of regulation may intersect at different levels and shape labor conditions on the ground. To date, some scholars have examined how private, public, and/or social mechanisms may intersect during policy formation (Burgoon and Fransen 2018; Amengual and Bartley 2022), on the ground (Amengual and Chirot 2016; Bair et al. 2020), and across countries (Distelhorst et al. 2015; Short et al. 2020). Others have focused on the network and micro-level interactions at headquarters among Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) managers (Schüßler et al. 2022), audit firms (Fransen and LeBaron 2019), and investors (Monciardini and Conaldi 2019), as well as alliances and networks of unions (Lévesque and Murray 2010), civil society organizations (Zajak 2017), sourcing agents (Soundararajan and Brammer 2018), and social auditors at the point of production (Raj-Reichert 2020).

Despite some promising work in the area, we still know relatively little regarding how actors interact and strategize within and across arenas and issue fields to regulate global production (Bartley 2018; Haipeter et al. 2021). Recently, scholars have started to delve into spillovers across regulatory initiatives (Ashwin et al. 2020) as well as connections between global and local levels

(Reinecke and Donaghey 2021). This special issue seeks to galvanize such efforts and advance our knowledge on the connections, intersections, and spillovers across arenas as well as issue fields (Fligstein and McAdam 2012).

Various attempts to examine and theorize about these phenomena have been scattered across disciplines. We believe that cross-fertilization of various research traditions in social science and management should give us clearer and deeper insights into the realities of connections, intersections, and spillovers surrounding regulation of global production. In this special issue, therefore, we welcome theoretical and empirical contributions from diverse disciplinary backgrounds, covering micro, mezzo, and/or macro levels of analysis.

Potential topic areas include, but are not limited to:

- ❖ What are the mechanisms and processes that help overcome the limitations of single regulatory instruments?
- ❖ How do the institutional and organizational properties of arenas and issue fields influence each other across time and place? For example, how is the field of mandatory due diligence regulation shaped by and shaping the field of social auditing and vice versa?
- ❖ What are the potential limits and/or unintended consequences of connections and spillovers across arenas and issue fields? Does one complement or substitute the other?
- ❖ What facilitates or obstructs connections or spillovers across arenas and issue fields? For instance, what is the role of social networks of engaged individuals and the communities of professionals?
- ❖ How do actors—state, business, unions, civil society, and other organizations—make sense of public, private, and social regulatory instruments, and how do they make use of various instruments to advance goals in their field?
- ❖ How can regulatory initiatives emanating from consuming countries be more relevant to workers at the point of production?
- ❖ How does policy at headquarters translate to practice on the ground? What effects have regulatory mechanisms had on worker outcomes? How do intermediary organizations enter the picture?

Scholars interested in contributing to the special issue should submit an abstract (about 1,000 words) by **December 17, 2023**. Authors will be notified in January 2024 if their abstract has been accepted for presentation at the workshop in spring 2024. A subset of authors will be asked to submit their papers to the *ILR Review* in fall 2024, with the expectation that their papers will be published in a special issue if they pass the external review process. Papers that are deemed of good quality but not selected for the special issue may be considered for publication in a regular issue of the journal. Prospective contributors are urged to consult any of the guest editors regarding preliminary proposals or ideas for papers.

To submit your abstract for consideration, please e-attach the abstract file to an e-mail and send to Chikako Oka at chikako.oka@u-pec.fr.

Guest Editors

Chikako Oka, l'Université Paris-Est Créteil (UPEC)
chikako.oka@u-pec.fr

Rémi Bourguignon, l'Université Paris-Est Créteil (UPEC)
remi.bourguignon@u-pec.fr

Luc Fransen, University of Amsterdam
L.W.Fransen@uva.nl

Markus Helfen, Hertie School Berlin
m.helfen@hertie-school.org

References

- Amengual, Matthew, and Tim Bartley. 2022. Global markets, corporate assurances, and the legitimacy of state intervention: Perceptions of distant labor and environmental problems. *American Sociological Review* 87(3): 383–414.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/00031224221092340>
- Amengual, Matthew, and Laura Chirot. 2016. Reinforcing the state. *ILR Review* 69(5): 1056–80.
- Anner, Mark. 2021. Three labour governance mechanisms for addressing decent work deficits in global value chains. *International Labour Review* 160(4): 611–29.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/ilr.12209>
- Ashwin, Sarah, Chikako Oka, Elke Schuessler, Rachel Alexander, and Nora Lohmeyer. 2020. Spillover effects across transnational industrial relations agreements: The potential and limits of collective action in global supply chains. *ILR Review* 73(4): 995–1020.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0019793919896570>
- Bair, Jennifer, Mark Anner, and Jeremy Blasi. 2020. The political economy of private and public regulation in post-Rana Plaza Bangladesh. *ILR Review* 73(4): 969–94.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0019793920925424>
- Bakker, Frank G. A. de, Andreas Rasche, and Stefano Ponte. 2019. Multi-stakeholder initiatives on sustainability: A cross-disciplinary review and research agenda for business ethics. *Business Ethics Quarterly* 29(3): 343–83. <https://doi.org/10.1017/beq.2019.10>
- Bartley, Tim. 2018. *Rules without Rights: Land, Labor, and Private Authority in the Global Economy*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Blasi, Jeremy, and Jennifer Bair. 2019. *An Analysis of Multiparty Bargaining Models for Global Supply Chains* (No. 105; Conditions of Work and Employment Series). International Labour Office.

- Bourguignon, Rémi, Pierre Garaudel, and Simon Porcher. 2020. Global framework agreements and trade unions as monitoring agents in transnational corporations. *Journal of Business Ethics* 165(3): 517–33. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04115-w>
- Burgoon, Brian, and Luc Fransen. 2018. Might corporate social responsibility hollow out support for public assistance in Europe? *British Journal of Industrial Relations* 56(1): 128–63. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjir.12227>
- Distelhorst, Greg, Richard M. Locke, Timea Pal, and Hiram Samel. 2015. Production goes global, compliance stays local: Private regulation in the global electronics industry. *Regulation & Governance* 9(3): 224–42. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rego.12096>
- Donaghey, Jimmy, and Juliane Reinecke. 2018. When industrial democracy meets corporate social responsibility – A comparison of the Bangladesh Accord and Alliance as responses to the Rana Plaza Disaster. *British Journal of Industrial Relations* 56(1): 14–42. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjir.12242>
- Fligstein, Neil, and Doug McAdam. 2012. *A Theory of Fields*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Fransen, Luc, and Genevieve LeBaron. 2019. Big audit firms as regulatory intermediaries in transnational labor governance. *Regulation & Governance* 13(2): 260–79. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rego.12224>
- Haipeter, Thomas, Markus Helfen, Anja Kirsch, Sophie Rosenbohm, and Christine Üyük. 2021. Industrial relations at centre stage: Efficiency, equity and voice in the governance of global labour standards. *Industrielle Beziehungen* 28(2): 148–71.
- Helfen, Markus, and Michael Fichter. 2013. Building transnational union networks across global production networks: Conceptualising a new arena of labour–management relations. *British Journal of Industrial Relations* 51(3): 553–76. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjir.12016>
- Kuruvilla, Sarosh. 2021. *Private Regulation of Labor Standards in Global Supply Chains*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- LeBaron, Genevieve, and Andreas Rühmkorf. 2017. Steering CSR through home state regulation: A comparison of the impact of the UK Bribery Act and Modern Slavery Act on global supply chain governance. *Global Policy* 8(S3): 15–28. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-5899.12398>
- Lévesque, Christian, and Gregor Murray. 2010. Trade union cross-border alliances within MNCs: Disentangling union dynamics at the local, national and international levels. *Industrial Relations Journal* 41(4): 312–32. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2338.2010.00571.x>
- Locke, Richard M. 2013. *The Promise and Limits of Private Power: Promoting Labor Standards in a Global Economy*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Marslev, Kristoffer, and Cornelia Staritz. 2023. Towards a stronger EU approach on the trade-labor nexus? The EU-Vietnam Free Trade Agreement, social struggles and labor reforms in Vietnam. *Review of International Political Economy* 30(3): 1125–50. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2022.2056903>
- Monciardini, David, and Guido Conaldi. 2019. The European regulation of corporate social responsibility: The role of beneficiaries’ intermediaries. *Regulation & Governance* 13(2): 240–59. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rego.12248>

- Oka, Chikako, Niklas Egels-Zandén, and Rachel Alexander. 2020. Buyer engagement and labour conditions in global supply chains: The Bangladesh Accord and Beyond. *Development and Change* 51(5): 1306–30. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dech.12575>
- Raj-Reichert, Gale. 2020. The powers of a social auditor in a global production network: The case of Verité and the exposure of forced labour in the electronics industry. *Journal of Economic Geography* 20(3): 653–78. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jeg/lbz030>
- Reinecke, Juliane, and Jimmy Donaghey. 2021. Political CSR at the coalface — The roles and contradictions of multinational corporations in developing workplace dialogue. *Journal of Management Studies* 58(2): 457–86. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12585>
- Rosile, Grace Ann, David Michael Boje, Richard A. Herder, and Mabel Sanchez. 2021. The coalition of Immokalee workers uses ensemble storytelling processes to overcome enslavement in corporate supply chains. *Business & Society* 60(2): 376–414. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650320930416>
- Schüßler, Elke S., Nora Lohmeyer, and Sarah Ashwin. 2022. “We can’t compete on human rights”: Creating market-protected spaces to institutionalize the emerging logic of responsible management. *Academy of Management Journal*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2020.1614>
- Short, Jodi L., Michael W. Toffel, and Andrea R. Hugill. 2020. Improving working conditions in global supply chains: The role of institutional environments and monitoring program design. *ILR Review* 73(4): 873–912. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0019793920916181>
- Soundararajan, Vivek, and Stephen Brammer. 2018. Developing country sub-supplier responses to social sustainability requirements of intermediaries: Exploring the influence of framing on fairness perceptions and reciprocity. *Journal of Operations Management* 58–59(1): 42–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2018.04.001>
- Zajak, Sabrina. 2017. International allies, institutional layering and power in the making of labour in Bangladesh: Debate: Labour power in the making in Bangladesh. *Development and Change* 48(5): 1007–30. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dech.12327>