

# Language and (inter)subjectivity in normal aging

## A corpus-based multimodal approach to the empathic ability of very old people

catherine.bolly@uclouvain.be

**Pragmatics** is a subfield of linguistics defined as “the study of language from the point of view of users, especially of the choices they make, the constraints they encounter in using language in social interaction and the effects their use of language has on other participants in the act of communication” (Crystal 1997: 301)

**Pragmatic markers and linguistic (inter)subjectivity** (Brinton 1996; Hansen 1998)

“A **pragmatic marker** is defined as a phonologically short item that is not syntactically connected to the rest of the clause (i.e., is parenthetical), and has little or no referential meaning but serves pragmatic or procedural purposes” (Brinton 2008: 1)

- **Expressive or subjective function** (speaker-oriented): to express the speaker's attitude (expression of feelings, (emotional) attitudes, value judgments, mitigation, assessments of epistemic stance, style, “back-channels” signals of understanding and continued attention, etc.)  
→ Ex.: *right, well, okay, I see, mm*
- **Interactional or intersubjective function** (addressee-oriented): to achieve cooperation, to create shared values or intimacy between speaker and addressee (appealing to the addressee, confirming shared or common knowledge, checking or expressing understanding, requesting confirmation, saving face (politeness), etc.) → Ex.: *you know, as you know, don't you think?*

**Pragmatic competence** (Kasper 1997, Rose & Kasper 2002)

The ability to use available linguistic resources (**pragmalinguistics**) in a **contextually appropriate** fashion (**sociopragmatics**)

→ Ex.: directness and indirectness, routines, forms which can intensify or soften communicative acts

- **Pragmatic language skills** usually studied in the ‘Pathology of Aging’ (cf. Berrewaerts et al. 2003): information processing efficiency, cohesion/coherence in discourse, narrative competence (e.g. (off-target) verbosity), conversational turn-taking and discourse structure, use of speech-acts and repairs

**Empathic ability as a part of the pragmatic competence**

Cognitive empathy, perspective-taking, and theory of mind (ToM) are overlapping “constructs referring to understanding another's beliefs and desires” which are “essential prerequisites for successful social interaction” (Bailey & Henry 2008: 219)

- Tendency to **grow less empathic with age**: age-related deficits in ToM, in perspective-taking, and in cognitive empathy > loss in the ability to see things from someone else's point of view (Bailey & Henry 2008; Kemp et al. 2011)
- Empathy as the **dynamic interaction of 4 necessary components** (“neural networks”) coming into play to experience the full extent of human empathy: 1) **affective sharing**; 2) **self-awareness**; 3) **mental flexibility**; 4) **emotion regulation**  
→ If any of the components missing/inhibited, the experience of empathy fails to emerge (Decety & Moriguchi 2007)

“Speech events have to be apprehended in their contexts, as shaped by the creative acts of speaking persons” (Firth 1957: 193)

## CORPAGE: A new reference corpus in elderly's language

A **multimodal corpus (text, sound, and gesture)** designed to serve as a **reference corpus** (Baude 2006) for **comparative studies** in elderly's language

→ Content [June 2012] (> *verbatim*): 98 subj.; 196 interviews; approx. 1.5 million words; approx. 144 hours

- Very old people (≥75 years old)
- Spoken Belgian French (norms and conventions from the *Valibel* centre; informed consent agreements and anonymity)
- Face-to-face conversations at home (dialogs)
- Task- and topic-oriented interviews:
  - ① 1<sup>st</sup> interview is descriptive > drawing a life line
  - ② 2<sup>nd</sup> interview is narrative > self-perception of aging
- Metadata: 1) material and situation (date, place, etc.); 2) text (e.g. size); 3) subjects (age; place of residence (home, rest home, other); mother tongue; civil status (e.g. widow); level of education; health; etc.)

Bolly, C., Masse, M. & Meire, Ph. (2012). *Corpage – Corpus de référence sur le langage des personnes âgées*, Louvain-la-Neuve, Université catholique de Louvain



### Pilot study

- **Aim:** This research project aims at establishing the linguistic profile of the elderly in normal aging, looking at their pragmatic competence
- **Hypothesis:** Expressive (i.e. subjective) and interactional (i.e. intersubjective) **pragmatic markers** could be seen as key indicators of **interpersonal competence** >>> A change in the interpersonal pragmalinguistic competence by very old people would be an indicator of a change in their **empathic ability**
- **Ecological and empirical method:** Corpus-based approach (‘evidence-based’) >>> To mirror language in use as realized in context(s)

“A **corpus** can be defined as a **collection of texts** assumed to be representative of a given language put together so that it can be used for linguistic analysis” (Tognini-Bonelli 2001: 2)

- Naturally-occurring data (vs. elicited data), explicit design criteria, specific purpose
- Representativeness: from the individual to the group (Biber 1993)

ID code	Age	Sex	Civil status	Education	Social status	Health	Aid	Social ties with speakers
ageJM1 'Jeanne'	90	F	Widower	University	Unemployed	Heart failure (> pacemaker)	Home help (housework)	Grand-mother
ageFB1	85	M	Married	Primary school	Worker	Heart failure, Kidney stones	Home help (housework), Meal delivery	Family friend
ageNT1	79	F	Widower	Higher education	Employee	n/a	n/a	Grand-mother's friend
ageFP1	84	F	Widower	Secondary school	Unemployed	n/a	Home help (housework)	Grand-mother
ageLL1	88	F	Married	Secondary school	Self-employed	Degenerative osteoarthritis	Home help (hygienic care)	Neighbor

Data: 5 subj. (M=85.2); 10 interv.; 7 hours; approx. 80.000 words



Tool: A KWIC (Keywords in Context) concordancer is a corpus analytical tool for making concordances and collocation tables by means of electronic files (here, *Antconc 3.2.1*)

	Task n°1	Task n°2
Depressive/Subjective (PMs: <i>tu sais, vous savez 'you know'</i> )	72	34
Interactional/Intersubjective (PMs: <i>je crois 'I think, I believe'</i> )	25	43

Results 1: Type-task dependency

CORPAGE: Task n°1 - Line life (ageJM1 'Jeanne', 90 ans; 2012) [1:13:07]  
**Jeanne:** il y a des mots c' / - comme ça **Charline**, oui - que je ne retrouve plus [...]  
**Jeanne:** et anorexique je ne parvenais pas à le retenir // j'ai / alors je pense à quelque chose / je pensais à anus (fries) / comme c'est quand même le tube digestif et qui est en bas (fries) et ça va depuis lors je n'oublie plus (fries) et encore l'autre jour aussi un mot / **tiens je ne sais p' / tu vois / si / j'ai / j'oublie certains mots Charline**, oui  
**Jeanne:** fin / je retombe dessus après / - **hein Charline**, oui - | après **je dis** mais c'était ça ce mot-là / c'était ce mot-là que je devais dire // ou ça ou / avant je parlais très facilement tous les mots justes me revenait / ça non / j'ai perdu [...]  
**Charline:** mais on va / on va | - terminer  
**Jeanne:** oui - | parce que **écoute hein** c'est un roman fleuve  
 Results 2: Individual variation

### Results

1. **Regularities:** Observed effects of **task significance** (descriptive vs. narrative) on the type of constructions used (intersubjective vs. subjective pragmatic markers) ( $X^2 = 35.9$ ;  $df = 1$ ;  $p = .000$ ) in very old people's language  
→ **Interpretation:** More intersubjective markers in intersubjective contexts (task n°1) AND more subjective markers in subjective contexts (task n°2) >>> Tendency to **maintain their interpersonal competence**; the task-type factor is a dependent variable to be taken into account in the study of empathic ability of the elderly
2. **Inter- and intraindividual variation:** 90% of the *tu sais* ('you know') as pragmatic markers are produced by the same speaker (ageJM1 ; 90 ans), regardless of the type of task  
→ **Interpretation:** Stress on the role of **idiosyncratic uses** of specific pragmatic markers, i.e. each person displays a preference for specific lexical forms; the tendency to preserve interpersonal competence has to be carefully considered in light of individual variation >>> The **full distribution and variety of markers** produced by each individual has to be taken into account for a **comprehensive approach** to interpersonal competence

### Conclusion and perspectives

- Corpus-based analysis in the study of pragmalinguistic competence of very old people represents a **promising field** of investigation for future research on normal aging  
→ Regularities and individual variation + Context of use
- This reference multimodal corpus would serve as a basis for **comparison** in longitudinal (adults > middle old > very old people), clinical (pathologic vs. sane), or sociolinguistic studies (home vs. rest home environment)  
→ Early detection of age-related dementia or diseases  
→ In favor of successful aging

### Future prospects

- **Strong hypothesis:** A change in the use of **gesture** in the elderly's interaction could be understood as an **adaptive strategy** responding to a loss in pragmatic (linguistic) competence (McNeill 1992 ; Feyereisen & Havard 1999)
- **Method:** **Multimodal** (verbal, audio, video) and **functional** analysis of the impact of gesture units on the structure of discourse



Léon (ID code: ageAF1; age: 78): maintenant on apprend la philosophie / m'enfin qu'est-ce que tu veux / je sais pas si ça servira un jour mais enfin